UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,288	11/03/2003	Zachary Steven Smith	200209129-1	4507
	7590 12/10/200 CKARD COMPANY	8	EXAMINER	
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			VU, VIET DUY	
	NS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2454	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/700,288	SMITH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Viet Vu	2454
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tird d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tte, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 and 03 a</u>	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 November 2003 is/	awn from consideration. or election requirement. ner.	ted to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/700,288 Page 2

Art Unit: 2454

Art Rejections:

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koch, U.S. pat. No. 6,671,264.

<u>Koch</u> discloses a method for identifying unending transactions comprising:

- a) monitoring an interface for a packet (see col 3, lines 2930);
- b) determining whether a transaction has timed out (see col 3, lines 30-32); and

Art Unit: 2454

c) flagging the packet if it is determined that the transaction has timed out (see col 3, lines 32-35).

 $\underline{\text{Koch}}$ does not explicitly teach flagging the timed out transaction.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize such flagging or any equivalent notifying mechanism because it would have enabled the system to identify/block the stale packet (see col 3, lines 32-35).

Per claim 2, it is noted that \underline{Koch} 's teachings comprise P2P network (see col 1, lines 13-33).

Per claims 4-5, $\underline{\text{Koch}}$ teaches labeling a transaction ID the packet to identify a pending active transaction (see col 3, lines 13-28).

Per claim 3, <u>Koch</u> does not teach applying the invention in a simulation application. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply <u>Koch</u>'s teachings in real data networks as well as simulated applications.

Per claims 6-7, <u>Koch</u> does not teach generating debug information. It would have been further obvious to one skilled in the art to generate and utilize such debug data in Koch

Application/Control Number: 10/700,288

Art Unit: 2454

because such debug data would be essential in many applications especially simulation applications.

Page 4

Per claims 8-9, <u>Koch</u> teaches forwarding the packet to the receiving unit and removing a complete transaction from the pending list (see col 4, lines 5-15).

Claims 10-29 are similar in scope as that of claims 1-9.

Per claims 30-39, an official notice is taken that the use of data translator/converter for convert data formats is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to utilize appropriate data format converter for a specific simulation application.

Conclusion:

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-3977. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00am to 4:00pm. The Group general information number is 571-272-2100. The Group fax number is 571-273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn, can be reached on 571-272-1915.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,288 Page 5

Art Unit: 2454

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Viet Vu/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2454
12/5/08